1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	CONTINENTAL D.I.A. DIAMOND	Case No. CV 08-2	136 SI
12	PRODUCTS, INC., a California corporation,	SIXTH JOINT STIPULATION AND	
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] OF MODIFICATION	
14	VS.	MANAGEMENT	SCHEDULE
15	DONG YOUNG DIAMOND INDUSTRIAL	Judge:	Honorable Susan Illston
16	CO., LTD., a South Korean company, DONGSOO LEE, an individual, and DOES 1-	Complaint Filed: Trial Date:	April 24, 2008
17	10, inclusive,	That Date:	July 1, 2010
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.		
21			
22	STIPULATION		
23	WHEREAS, Continental D.I.A. Diamond Products, Inc. ("Continental" or "Plaintiff") and		
24	Defendants Dong Young Diamond Industrial Co., Ltd. and DongSoo Lee (collectively		
25	"Defendants") continue to engage in settlement discussions, which respective counsel believe will		
26	lead to a final resolution of this matter;		
27	WHEREAS, the parties have reached near final agreement on terms of settlement with the		
28	exception of one remaining issue that is the subject of continued negotiations and counsel has taken		
	SIXTH IOINT STIPLIL ATION AND IPROPOS	SEDI ORDER FOR MO	DIFICATION CASE

28 |

steps to assure settlement once reached will be effected;

WHEREAS, respective counsel for the parties have been and continue to be in frequent contact to attempt to resolve the differences between the parties;

WHEREAS, the parties, mindful of this Court's interest in having this case resolved, and confident of the parties' ability to resolve this highly complex matter, are diligently working to finalize the settlement agreement. However, given the complicated nature of the one remaining intellectual property issue and due to the continuing time-zone and communication, multi-lingual and multi-cultural challenges faced by counsel for Defendants, the parties require a small amount of additional time;

WHEREAS, counsel for the parties believe that an additional fifteen (15) day suspension of applicable deadlines in this case will allow the parties and counsel to continue to devote their full attention and resources to a final resolution of this matter;

WHEREAS, the agreed upon extension of the fact and expert discovery deadlines, and dispositive motion deadlines is not for the purpose of delay, and the parties believe that this reasonable extension of time may well obviate the need for trial in this matter, and is in the interests of fairness and judicial economy.

ACCORDINGLY, the parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, HEREBY STIPULATE as follows:

In order to avoid prejudicing the parties' discovery efforts and trial preparations, and to allow the parties to focus on settlement negotiations, the parties agree and respectfully request that the current pre-trial schedule be modified as follows:

- 1. The fact discovery cut-off, which is currently set for March 9, 2010, is extended to March 24, 2010;
- 2. The deadline to designate experts, which is currently set for April 15, 2010, is extended to April 30, 2010;
- 3. The deadline to designate rebuttal experts, which is currently set for May 11, 2010, is extended to May 26, 2010; and

1	4.	The expert discovery cut-off, which is currently set for May 27, 2010, is extended to		
2	June 11, 2010.			
3	5.	The dates for dispositive motions are extended for fifteen (15) days, to the following		
4	schedule:			
5	•	positive Motions Due: May 7, 2010		
6	•	Oppositions Due: May 21, 2010		
7	•	• Replies Due: May 28, 2010		
8	6.	6. The hearing on dispositive motions, which is currently set for May 21, 2010, is reset		
9	for June 11, 2010, or as soon thereafter as the Court's schedule permits.			
10	7.	7. All other deadlines are tolled for fifteen (15) days as of the date of this stipulation.		
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED BY THE PARTIES.			
12	Dated: F	Gebruary 9, 2010 K&L GATES LLP		
13				
14		/s/ Jeffrey M. Ratinoff By: JEFFREY M. RATINOFF		
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,		
16		Continental D.I.A. Diamond Products, Inc.		
17				
18	Dated: February 9, 2010 PRETI FLAHERTY BELIVEAU & PACHIOS, LLP			
19				
20	/s/ Alfred C. Frawley By: ALFRED C. FRAWLEY			
21		Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaimants,		
22		Dong Young Diamond Industrial Co., Ltd., and DongSoo Lee		
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
24	Dated:			
25	Pretrial Conference: 6/29/10 @ 3:30 p.m.			
26				
27	Jury Trial: 7/12/10 @ 8:30 a.m. THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON			
28		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
	1	2		